

# Explicit generators for the ring of quasisymmetric functions over the integers

by

**Abstract.**

**MSCS:**

**Key words and key phrases:**

## 1. The Witt polynomials.

This is well known stuff, see e.g. Chapter 3 of [1], included here for completeness sake and to establish notation.

Let  $Symm$  be the ring of symmetric functions over the integers in infinitely many variables

$$Symm = \mathbf{Z}[e_1, e_2, \dots] \subset \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots] \quad (1.1)$$

Here the  $e_i$  are the elementary symmetric functions in the  $x_j$ . There is another free polynomial basis of  $Symm$ , that is related to the free polynomial basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  by the formula

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - a_i t^i) = 1 - e_1 t + e_2 t^2 - e_3 t^3 + \dots = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_i t) \quad (1.2)$$

The free polynomial basis  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  generalizes in a natural way to a free polynomial basis over the integers for the ring  $QSymm$  of quasisymmetric functions. It is of course obvious from (1.2) that  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  is a free polynomial basis of  $Symm$ .

Let

$$w_n(X) = \sum_{d|n} d X_d^{n/d} \quad (1.3)$$

be the well known Witt polynomials (in a set of commuting variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ ). Let

$$p_n = \sum_i x_i^n \in Symm \quad (1.4)$$

be the power sums. Then

$$w_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = p_n \quad (1.5)$$

To see this just apply  $-t \frac{d}{dt} \log$  to the formula (1.2) (the outer parts).

### 2. The wll-ordering

Let  $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  be a composition. The length of such a composition is  $lg(\alpha) = m$ , and its weight is  $wt(\alpha) = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m$ . The empty composition  $[\ ]$  has length and weight zero. A composition  $\alpha$  defines a monomial quasisymmetric function as follows

$$\alpha = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m} x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \dots x_{i_m}^{a_m} \tag{2.1}$$

As a rule we shall not distinguish between a composition and the quasisymmetric function it defines. The empty composition is the unit element in the ring  $QSymm$  of quasisymmetric functions. The monomial symmetric functions (2.1) form a free Abelian group basis for  $QSymm$ .

We shall use a total ordering on the set of composition called the wll-ordering. This stands for “weight first, than length, and finally lexicographic”. Thus, for instance

$$[5] >_{wll} [1, 1, 2] >_{wll} [2, 2] >_{wll} [1, 3]$$

### 3. Substitution (= plethysm)

Given a composition  $\alpha$  and a composition  $\beta$  define a new quasisymmetric function  $\alpha \circ \beta$ , “ $\beta$  substituted in  $\alpha$ ” as follows. Order the summands of the quasisymmetric function  $\beta$  lexicographically and substitute these in that order for the  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  in the quasisymmetric function  $\alpha$ . The result is a new quasisymmetric function of weight  $wt(\alpha)wt(\beta)$ .

The transformation

$$s_\beta: \alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ \beta \tag{3.1}$$

is (obviously) a ring endomorphism. The transformation

$$t_\alpha: \beta \mapsto \alpha \circ \beta$$

is not a ring homomorphism; it is a plethysm. Indeed, the  $t_{e_n}$  define a  $\lambda$  – ring structure on  $QSymm$ .

3.2. *Example.* For a composition  $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$  and a natural number  $n$  let  $n\alpha = [na_1, na_2, \dots, na_m]$ <sup>1</sup>. Then for the power sums  $p_n$

$$p_n \circ \alpha = n\alpha \tag{3.3}$$

3.4. *Example.* For two compositions  $\alpha, \beta$  let  $\alpha * \beta$  denote their concatenation. Thus, for example,  $[1, 2] * [1, 6, 4] = [1, 2, 1, 6, 4]$ , and  $[1, 2]^*3 = [1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2]$ . Let  $e_n$  be the  $n$ -th elementary symmetric function. Then if  $\alpha$  is a Lyndon word

---

<sup>1</sup> It would actually probably be better to write  $\mathbf{f}_n \alpha$ , for these are the right Frobenius Hopf algebra endomorphisms of  $QSymm$ ; they are also the Adams endomorphisms corresponding to the  $\lambda$  – ring structure already mentioned.

$$e_n \circ \alpha = \alpha^{*n} + (\text{wll} - \text{smaller}) \tag{3.5}$$

where (wll-smaller) means a sum of monomial quasisymmetric functions that are strictly wll-smaller than  $\alpha^{*n}$ .

#### 4. Lyndon-Witt generators

For a composition  $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$  let  $g(\alpha) = \gcd\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$  and define

$$A_\alpha = a_{g(\alpha)} \circ \alpha_{red} \tag{4.1}$$

where the  $a_i$  are the symmetric functions of section 1 above and

$$\alpha_{red} = [g(\alpha)^{-1} a_1, g(\alpha)^{-1} a_2, \dots, g(\alpha)^{-1} a_m] \tag{4.2}$$

Note that  $A_\alpha$  is homogeneous of weight  $\text{wt}(\alpha)$ .

4.3. *Lemma.* Let  $\alpha$  be a reduced composition, i.e.  $g(\alpha) = 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{d|n} d A_{d\alpha}^{n/d} = n\alpha \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition of the  $A_\beta$  by applying the operation ‘‘substitute  $\alpha$ ’’ to formula (1.5), using (3.3).

Note that formula (4.4) again establishes that all  $A_\beta$  are quasisymmetric functions while their integrality is assured by the definition (4.1).

Let  $LYN$  be the set of Lyndon compositions (Lyndon words).

4.5. *Theorem.* The set  $\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in LYN\}$  is a set of free polynomial generators for  $QS\text{Sym}$ .

Proof. Let  $R$  be the subring of  $QS\text{Sym}$  generated by the  $A_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in LYN$ . Because the number of proposed homogeneous generators is just right for each weight it will suffice to show that  $R = QS\text{Sym}$ , i.e. that each composition  $\alpha$  is in  $R$ .

To start with, let  $\beta$  be a Lyndon composition. Then taking  $a = \beta_{red}$ , and  $n = g(\beta)$  in formula (4.4) we see that  $\beta \in R$ .

We now proceed with induction for the wll-ordering. The case of weight 1 is trivial. For each separate weight the induction starts because of what has just been said because compositions of length 1 are Lyndon.

So let  $\alpha$  be a composition of weight  $\geq 2$  and length  $\geq 2$ . By the Chen-Fox-Lyndon concatenation factorization theorem

$$\alpha = \beta_1^{*r_1} * \beta_2^{*r_2} * \dots * \beta_k^{*r_k}, \quad \beta_i \in LYN, \quad \beta_1 >_{lex} \beta_2 >_{lex} \dots >_{lex} \beta_k \tag{4.6}$$

where, as before, the  $*$  denotes concatenation and  $\beta >_{lex} \beta'$  means that  $\beta$  is lexicographically

strictly larger than  $\beta'$ .

If  $k \geq 2$ , take  $\alpha' = \beta_1^{*r_1}$  and for  $\alpha''$  the corresponding tail of  $\alpha$  so that  $\alpha = \alpha' * \alpha''$ . Then

$$\alpha' \alpha'' = \alpha' * \alpha'' + (\text{wll} - \text{smaller than } \alpha) = \alpha + (\text{wll} - \text{smaller than } \alpha)$$

and with induction it follows that  $\alpha \in R$ . there remains the case that  $k = 1$  in the CFL-factorization (4.6). If then also  $r = r_1 = 1$ ,  $\alpha$  is Lyndon, and hence by what has been said at the start of the proof  $\alpha \in R$ . The remaining case is that of a word of the form  $\gamma = \beta^{*r}$ ,  $r \geq 2$  and this case requires a rather different argument.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the ideal in  $QSymm$  generated by all nontrivial products  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$ ,  $\text{lg}(\alpha_1), \text{lg}(\alpha_2) \geq 1$ . Now in formula (4.4) take  $\alpha = \beta_{red}$  and  $n = g(\beta)r$  to see that

$$g(\beta)rA_{r\beta} = g(\beta)rA_{g(\beta)r\alpha} \equiv g(\beta)r\alpha = r\beta \pmod{\mathcal{P}} \quad (4.7)$$

Now consider the  $r$ -th Newton relation in  $Symm$

$$p_r - e_1 p_{r-1} + \cdots + (-1)^{r-1} e_{r-1} p_1 + (-1)^r r e_r = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

And apply the operation ‘substitute  $\beta$ ’ to it. Using Examples 3.2, 3.4, there results that

$$r\beta \equiv \pm r(\beta^{*r} + (\text{wll} - \text{smaller than } \beta^{*r})) \pmod{\mathcal{P}} \quad (4.9)$$

Now combine this with (4.7) and use that  $QSymm / \mathcal{P}$  is torsion free to see that (for Lyndon  $\beta$ )

$$g(\beta)A_{r\beta} \equiv \pm \beta^{*r} + (\text{wll} - \text{smaller than } \beta^{*r}) \quad (4.10)$$

With induction this finishes the proof.

#### 4.11. Remarks.

Note that this proof requires that one has already shown that  $QSymm / \mathcal{P}$  is torsion free which follows from the theorem that abstractly (without specifying explicit generators) the ring  $QSymm$  is freely polynomially generated, which is proved in [3, 4].

The idea of using Chen-Fox-Lyndon factorization to prove theorems like 4.5 goes back (at least) to [5]. This is also the key technique for proving a  $p$ -adic version of theorem 4.5, i.e. over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ , (also with explicit generators) in [2, 3]. Which, in turn, suffices to establish the torsion freeness of  $QSymm / \mathcal{P}$ .

#### 4.12. Corollary

Take another free polynomial basis of  $Symm$ , like the elementary symmetric functions  $e_n$  or the complete symmetric functions  $h_n$ . Define quasisymmetric functions

$$E_\alpha = e_{g(\alpha)} \circ \alpha_{red}, \quad H_\alpha = h_{g(\alpha)} \circ \alpha_{red} \quad (4.13)$$

Then also  $\{E_\alpha: \alpha \in LYN\}$  and  $\{H_\alpha: \alpha \in LYN\}$  are free polynomial bases for  $QSymm$ .

**References.**

1. M. Hazewinkel, *Formal groups and applications*, Acad. Press, 1978.
2. Michiel Hazewinkel, *Quasisymmetric functions*. In: D Krob, A A Mikhalev and A V Mikhalev (ed.), *Formal series and algebraic combinatorics*. Proc of the 12-th international conference, Moscow, June 2000, Springer, 2000, 30-44.
3. Michiel Hazewinkel, *The algebra of quasi-symmetric functions is free over the integers*, *Advances in Mathematics* **164** (2001), 283-300.
4. Michiel Hazewinkel, *The primitives of the Hopf algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions over the integers*, Preprint, CWI, 2001.
5. A C J Scholtens, *S-typical curves in non-commutative Hopf algebras*, 1996.